Faculty hiring, social class, and epistemic inequality

Allison Morgan

Work w/ Dimitrios Economou, Nick LaBerge, Samuel Way, Daniel Larremore, Mirta Galesic, Aaron Clauset

Academic workforce

Undergraduate Women in Science and Engineering: Effects of Faculty, Fields, and Institutions Over Time*

Gerhard Sonnert, *Harvard University*

Mary Frank Fox, *Georgia Institute of Technology*

Kristen Adkins, *The University of Texas at Austin*

ETHNIC DIVERSITY AND CREATIVITY IN SMALL GROUPS

POPPY LAURETTA McLEOD
University of Iowa

SHARON ALISA LOBEL
Seattle University

TAYLOR H. COX, JR.
University of Michigan

The Educational Benefits of Diversity: Evidence from Multiple Sectors

by Jeffrey F. Milem
University of Maryland

The Difference _____

HOW THE POWER OF DIVERSITY

CREATES BETTER GROUPS, FIRMS,

SCHOOLS, AND SOCIETIES

With a new preface by the author

Scott E. Page

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solvay_Conference

What makes some research more visible?

Who becomes tenure-track faculty?

Outline:

1. Career trajectories and university prestige
2. Institutional prestige shapes scholarship
3. Socioeconomic status shapes academic careers
4. Discuss implications

Career trajectories form networks

Career trajectories form networks

Faculty hiring networks

Each directed edge $u \to v$
PhD from $u \to$ faculty at v

[US academia: big, mobile, self-contained, competitive]

Dramatic inequality in PhD production [80/20 rule holds]

Common large-scale structure: influential, well-connected core

Small percentage of edges are self-loops [8% in CS]

Assumption: reveals collective preferences. Hiring committees want to hire the best candidates

Computer science faculty hiring network;
http://tuvalu.santafe.edu/~aaronc/facultyhiring/

Features of hierarchy

systematic

90% of hiring movement
is "down" the hierarchy

steep

< 7% of faculty have PhD
from lower 75% of universities

biased

median change for women
~3 ranks worse than men

100% down the hierarchy
2 down per 1 up
balanced
2 up per 1 down
100% up the hierarchy

Core-periphery position changes with rank

Stanford UMass Colorado SUNY Buffalo UConn Oregon St. York Montana St. BYU

Mean geodesic distance / diameter

University Prestige (π / n)

What are the implications?

Shape of the faculty hiring network

- Large inequalities in placement power

- Faculty flow out of core, into periphery

- Modest fraction stays inside core

- Small fraction flows "upstream"

- Prestige describes influence via individuals placement

- **Next:** How does prestige affect science as a system? How does SES shape researcher prestige?

Outline:

1. Career trajectories and university prestige
2. Institutional prestige shapes scholarship
3. Socioeconomic status shapes academic careers
4. Discuss implications

Inputs, Outputs, and the Prestige of University Science Departments*

Warren O. Hagstrom
University of Wisconsin

Sociol. Educ. 375-397

DEPARTMENTAL EFFECTS ON SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTIVITY*

PAUL D. ALLISON
University of Pennsylvania

J. SCOTT LONG
Indiana University

Am. Soc. Rev. 55, 469-478 (1990)

Professional Standing and the Reception of Scientific Discoveries[1]

Stephen Cole

State University of New York at Stony Brook, and Bureau of Applied Social Research, Columbia University

Am. J. Soc. 76(2), 286-306 (1970)

The Matthew Effect in Science

The reward and communication systems of science are considered.

Robert K. Merton

Science 159.3810, 56-63 (1968)

Prestige drives epistemic inequality in the diffusion of scientific ideas

Allison C. Morgan[1*], Dimitrios J. Economou[1], Samuel F. Way[1] and Aaron Clauset[1,2,3]

Visibility of research

https://pxhere.com/en/photo/950021 *(CC 2.0)*

Three explanations

(1) genuine differences in merit

(2) non-meritocratic social processes

(3) non-meritocratic structural factors

Three explanations

(1) genuine differences in merit

(2) non-meritocratic social processes

(3) <u>non-meritocratic structural factors</u>

Faculty hiring as a mechanism

**R1:** Are research ideas carried by faculty hiring?

Faculty hiring as a mechanism

R1: Are research ideas carried by faculty hiring?

W. Lamb, J. Wheeler, A. Pais, R. Feynman, H. Feshbach, J. Schwinger

Earliest published Feynman Diagram

Faculty hiring as a mechanism

R1: Are research ideas carried by faculty hiring? (Yes.)

W. Lamb, J. Wheeler, A. Pais, R. Feynman, H. Feshbach, J. Schwinger

Rochester

Oxford/Cambridge

Cornell

Chicago

American Scientist 55, 156-165 (2005)

*Proc. 11th Conf. on Web and Social Media (2017)*

Earliest published Feynman Diagram

Faculty hiring as a mechanism

R1: Are research ideas carried by faculty hiring?

R2: Does the structure of the faculty hiring network affect the spread of ideas?

American Scientist 55, 156-165 (2005)

Proc. 11th Conf. on Web and Social Media (2017)

Sci. Adv. 1(1), e1400005, 2015.

Does the structure of the faculty hiring network affect the spread of ideas?

Seed an epidemic at a university with unique prestige π, varying the transmissibility p (quality of an idea)

Quality of idea relates to how many nodes will adopt an idea (on average)

Measure the fraction of universities which adopted the idea

Does the structure of the faculty hiring network affect the spread of ideas?

Core-periphery position changes with prestige [link to vis]

Fraction of Network Infected (y-axis)

1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0

University Prestige (π) (x-axis)

0   50   100   150

← Increasing Prestige

Average of 10K simulations originating from *u* with particular quality *p*

Infection Rate *p* ("Idea Quality")

• 0.1
▼ 0.3
▲ 0.5
◄ 0.7
► 0.9

Infection Rate p
("Idea Quality")

- 0.1
- 0.3
- 0.5
- 0.7
- 0.9

Poor quality ideas spread more easily from high-prestige universities

Great ideas can spread
regardless of starting place

We may lose medium quality research ideas because the system structurally disallows their spread

Outline:

1. Career trajectories and university prestige
2. Institutional prestige shapes scholarship
3. Socioeconomic status shapes academic careers
4. Discuss implications

Who becomes tenure-track faculty?

Demography, Vol. 28, No. 1, February 1991

Childhood Events and Circumstances Influencing High School Completion

Mobility Report Cards: The Role of Colleges in Intergenerational Mobility

How elite colleges fail half of the poor students they admit

"Access isn't the same as acceptance," says Harvard professor Anthony Abraham Jack.

Measuring SES among faculty

Socioeconomic Roots of Academic Faculty

Allison C. Morgan,[1,*] Nicholas LaBerge,[1,†] Daniel B. Larremore,[1,2,‡] Mirta Galesic,[3,§] and Aaron Clauset[1,2,3,¶]

[1]Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
[2]BioFrontiers Institute, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
[3]Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM, USA

https://osf.io/preprints/socarxiv/6wjxc

Data: Survey responses from tenure-track faculty in Anthropology, Biology, Business, CS, History, Physics / Astronomy, Psychology, and Sociology across U.S.

Information about professors' parents' education levels (N = 7218; 90.2%), and zip code of where they grew up (N = 4807; 60.0%).

Methods

Income: Linked respondent provided ZIP with average AGI from <u>IRS</u> (1998-2018) in the year closest to when they grew up. Adjusted for inflation.

Education: Respondent provided: What was your parents' highest levels of education? Benchmarks come from the <u>Census Bureau</u> and <u>NSF SED</u>.

Parental education

	Elementary	Some HS	HS	Some College	College	Masters	PhD
Survey of Earned Doctorates (NSF)	←	25.2	→	14.0	23.1	26.0	11.8
U.S. Population (Census)	8.7	10.5	35.6	23.1	14.6	6.5	0.9

Percentages of faculty by their parents' highest held degree, compared to the closest available data on educational attainment of the U.S. adult population when faculty were born and the education levels of the parents of doctoral recipients when faculty started their tenure-track job.

Parental education

	Elementary	Some HS	HS	Some College	College	Masters	PhD
		39% **first-gen**					**12% PhD** **parents**
Survey of Earned Doctorates (NSF)	←	25.2	→	14.0	23.1	26.0	11.8
U.S. Population (Census)	8.7	10.5	35.6	23.1		14.6	6.5	0.9

Percentages of faculty by their parents' highest held degree, compared to the closest available data on educational attainment of the U.S. adult population when faculty were born and the education levels of the parents of doctoral recipients when faculty started their tenure-track job.

Parental education

	Elementary	Some HS	HS	Some College	College	Masters	PhD
Survey of Earned Doctorates (NSF)	←	25.2	→	14.0	23.1	26.0	11.8
U.S. Population (Census)	8.7	10.5	35.6	23.1	14.6	6.5	0.9

Percentages of faculty by their parents' highest held degree, compared to the closest available data on educational attainment of the U.S. adult population when faculty were born and the education levels of the parents of doctoral recipients when faculty started their tenure-track job.

Parental education

	Elementary	Some HS	HS	Some College	College	Masters	PhD
All Professors	2.6	2.9	13.7	9.5	19.5	29.6	22.2

29% first-gen

22% PhD parents

	Elementary	Some HS	HS	Some College	College	Masters	PhD
Survey of Earned Doctorates (NSF)	←	25.2	→	14.0	23.1	26.0	11.8
U.S. Population (Census)	8.7	10.5	35.6	23.1	14.6	6.5	0.9

Percentages of faculty by their parents' highest held degree, compared to the closest available data on educational attainment of the U.S. adult population when faculty were born and the education levels of the parents of doctoral recipients when faculty started their tenure-track job.

Parental education

	Elementary	Some HS	HS	Some College	College	Masters	PhD
All Professors	2.6	2.9	13.7	9.5	19.5	29.6	22.2
Anthropology Professors	0.8	2.3	14.9	7.3	19.4	32.1	23.1
Biology Professors	3.2	3.3	14.3	11.6	19.5	26.2	21.9
Business Professors	2.3	3.3	14.5	8.4	24.1	30.9	16.6
CS Professors	3.2	3.4	10.8	8.9	21.6	26.1	26.0
History Professors	1.6	1.3	10.5	8.6	17.0	34.3	26.7
Physics/Astronomy Professors	4.1	4.1	12.1	10.2	18.3	27.3	24.1
Psychology Professors	1.6	2.1	17.4	9.9	17.1	31.1	20.8
Sociology Professors	1.8	2.7	17.4	6.9	17.0	35.3	18.8
Survey of Earned Doctorates (NSF)	←	25.2	→	14.0	23.1	26.0	11.8
U.S. Population (Census)	8.7	10.5	35.6	23.1	14.6	6.5	0.9

Percentages of faculty by their parents' highest held degree, compared to the closest available data on educational attainment of the U.S. adult population when faculty were born and the education levels of the parents of doctoral recipients when faculty started their tenure-track job.

Parental education

	Elementary	Some HS	HS	Some College	College	Masters	PhD
All Professors	2.6	2.9	13.7	9.5	19.5	29.6	22.2
Anthropology Professors	0.8	2.3	14.9	7.3	19.4	32.1	23.1
Biology Professors	3.2	3.3	14.3	11.6	19.5	26.2	21.9
Business Professors	2.3	3.3	14.5	8.4	24.1	30.9	16.6
CS Professors	3.2	3.4	10.8	8.9	21.6	26.1	26.0
History Professors	1.6	1.3	10.5	8.6	17.0	34.3	26.7
Physics/Astronomy Professors	4.1	4.1	12.1	10.2	18.3	27.3	24.1
Psychology Professors	1.6	2.1	17.4	9.9	17.1	31.1	20.8
Sociology Professors	1.8	2.7	17.4	6.9	17.0	35.3	18.8
Survey of Earned Doctorates (NSF)	←	25.2	→	14.0	23.1	26.0	11.8
U.S. Population (Census)	8.7	10.5	35.6	23.1	14.6	6.5	0.9

Percentages of faculty by their parents' highest held degree, compared to the closest available data on educational attainment of the U.S. adult population when faculty were born and the education levels of the parents of doctoral recipients when faculty started their tenure-track job.

Parental education and career support

Parents' Highest
Level of Education

Amount of support parents provided for academic careers on a scale of 1 (None at all) to 5 (A lot), stratified by faculty members' parents' highest education levels.

Estimated income

Average income distribution estimated using faculty members' childhood ZIP codes (green), compared with the income distribution across the 1998 U.S. population (black).

Aside: Isn't this to be expected?

Medical school admission test: advantages for students whose parents are medical doctors?

Anne Simmenroth-Nayda ✉ & Yvonne Görlich

BMC Medical Education **15**, Article number: 81 (2015) | Cite this article

7771 Accesses | **7** Citations | **11** Altmetric | Metrics

12 NASCAR Kids Who Outdid Their Famous Dads (And 13 From Other Motorsports)

Check out the list below of successful NASCAR racers who are already being outshone by their offspring.

BY NATASHA BROWN
PUBLISHED DEC 29, 2018

"IN MY FATHER'S FOOTSTEPS: CAREER PATTERNS OF LAWYERS"*

by

STEPHEN L. WASBY ** & SUSAN S. DALY***

57 Celebrities with Famous Parents

These stars practically stole the spotlight from their parents.

by CARINE LAVACHE and MEHERA BONNER — JAN 27, 2021

HOLLYWOOD DYNASTIES

The 25 Most Important Families in Hollywood History

From the Coppolas to the Barrymores to, yes, the Kardashians.

Kennedy family

The Kennedy family is an American political family that has long been prominent in American politics, public service, entertainment, and business. The first Kennedy elected to public office was Patrick Joseph "P. J." Kennedy in 1884, 35 years after the family's arrival from Ireland. Wikipedia

Parent family: O'Kennedy

Place of origin: Dunganstown

Current region: New England

Founder: Patrick Kennedy (1823–1858)

Probably.

Aside: Isn't this to be expected?

It might be tempting to take the position that the extreme microclass inequalities uncovered here are not all that objectionable. Should we really care, for example, that the child of the truck driver has a special propensity to become a truck driver while the child of a gardener has a special propensity to become a gardener? Must we truly commit ourselves to equal access to truck driving and gardening? If pressed, we would argue that all ascriptive constraints on choice, even those pertaining to purely horizontal inequalities, are inconsistent with a commitment to an open society. By this logic, *all* types of origin-by-destination association are problematic because they imply that human choice has been circumscribed, a circumscription that is wholly determined by the accident of birth. We care, in other

words, that the truck driver is fated to become a truck driver at birth because that amounts to a stripping away of choice, and most of us would embrace an open society in which choices are expanded, not stripped away. Although our illustrative nonchoice (i.e., being a truck driver versus being a gardener) may not have implications for total rewards (of the sort that are *consensually* valued), it is nonetheless a fateful nonchoice that determines the texture and content of a human life. It is this commitment to an open society, sometimes left quite implicit, that underlies the discipline's long-standing interest in monitoring marital homogamy, occupational sex segregation, and many other forms of ascription that are hybrids of vertical and horizontal processes.

"It's a Decent Bet That Our Children Will Be Professors Too" Jonsson, Grusky, Di Carlo, Pollak (2009)

# Relationship between prestige and SES

Relationship between prestige and SES

Relationship between prestige and SES

The relationship between the current institutional ranking of faculty and whether they have a parent with a PhD. Lines show the relationship for faculty born in different time periods.

Relationship between prestige and SES

Percentage of faculty with at least one parent holding a PhD, stratified by prestige of the faculty's current institution. Green upward arrows describe faculty at top 20% of institutions by USNWR or NRC ranking, and downward arrows the bottom 20% of ranked institutions. The black line describes the average proportion of faculty with PhD parents.

Relationship between prestige and SES

Linear regression of current institutional prestige as a function of neighborhood, estimated income, and parents' highest education.

	Model I	Model II	Model III
Urban neighborhood	0.129 (0.050)	0.552 (0.052)	0.914 (0.054)
Average income (standardized)		-1.447 * (0.037)	-1.352 * (0.038)
Parents' highest degree: Elementary			-2.946 (0.172)
Some high school			-1.816 (0.191)
High school			-4.873 * (0.065)
College			-4.416 * (0.063)
Masters			-5.177 * (0.059)
PhD			-6.889 * (0.061)
Adjusting for discipline, race / ethnicity, gender, PhD prestige	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adjusted R^2	0.105	0.107	0.109

Relationship between prestige and SES

Linear regression of current institutional prestige as a function of neighborhood, estimated income, and parents' highest education.

	Model I	Model II	Model III
Urban neighborhood	0.129 (0.050)	0.552 (0.052)	0.914 (0.054)
Average income (standardized)		-1.447 * (0.037)	-1.352 * (0.038)
Parents' highest degree: Elementary			-2.946 (0.172)
Some high school			-1.816 (0.191)
High school			-4.873 * (0.065)
College			-4.416 * (0.063)
Masters			-5.177 * (0.059)
PhD			-6.889 * (0.061)
Adjusting for discipline, race / ethnicity, gender, PhD prestige	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adjusted R^2	0.105	0.107	0.109

Outline:

1. Career trajectories and university prestige
2. Institutional prestige shapes scholarship
3. Socioeconomic status shapes academic careers
4. Discuss implications

Academic workforce

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solvay_Conference

Who becomes faculty?

What influences their visibility?

Implications

Ideas spread in academia via faculty hiring. The structure of this network can privilege elite institutions.

Caveats: Model assumes quality is independent of institution and hiring decisions.

Effectiveness of Anonymization in Double-Blind Review

Claire Le Goues	Yuriy Brun	Sven Apel
Carnegie Mellon University	University of Massachusetts Amherst	University of Passau
clegoues@cs.cmu.edu	brun@cs.umass.edu	apel@uni-passau.de
Emery Berger	Sarfraz Khurshid	Yannis Smaragdakis
University of Massachusetts Amherst	University of Texas Austin	University of Athens
emery@cs.umass.edu	khurshid@ece.utexas.edu	smaragd@di.uoa.gr

https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=3229066.3208157

The NIPS experiment

Dec 15, 2014 · Eric Price

http://blog.mrtz.org/2014/12/15/the-nips-experiment.html

Reviewer bias in single- versus double-blind peer review

Andrew Tomkins[a,1], Min Zhang[b], and William D. Heavlin[a]

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2017)

Implications

Faculty are 2X more likely to have a PhD parent than PhD recipients.

Current placement correlates with having PhD parents.

Caveats: This study doesn't speak to barriers once individuals become faculty.

What should we do?

The Facade of Fit in Faculty Search Processes

Damani K. White-Lewis

Department of Counseling, Higher Education, and Special Education, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

Journal of Higher Education 9:61 (2020)

Roles for Computing in Social Change

Rediet Abebe	Solon Barocas	Jon Kleinberg
Harvard University	Microsoft Research and Cornell University	Cornell University
rabebe@fas.harvard.edu	sbarocas@cornell.edu	kleinber@cs.cornell.edu
Karen Levy	Manish Raghavan	David G. Robinson
Cornell University	Cornell University	Cornell University
karen.levy@cornell.edu	manish@cs.cornell.edu	david.robinson@cornell.edu

Conf. on Fairness, Accountability, Transparency (2020)

Thanks!

Collaborators: Dimitrios Economou, Samuel Way, Aaron Clauset, Daniel Larremore, McKenzie Mae Weller, Mirta Galesic, Nick LaBerge

University of Colorado **Boulder**

Systematic inequality and hierarchy in faculty hiring networks

Aaron Clauset,[1,2,3]* Samuel Arbesman,[4] Daniel B. Larremore[5,6]

Science Advances 1(1), e1400005 (2015)

Prestige drives epistemic inequality in the diffusion of scientific ideas

Allison C. Morgan[1]*, Dimitrios J. Economou[1], Samuel F. Way[1] and Aaron Clauset[1,2,3]

EPJ Data Science 7:40 (2018)

Socioeconomic Roots of Academic Faculty

Allison C. Morgan,[1]* Nicholas LaBerge,[1,†] Daniel B. Larremore,[1,2,‡] Mirta Galesic,[3,§] and Aaron Clauset[1,2,3,¶]

[1] *Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA*
[2] *BioFrontiers Institute, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA*
[3] *Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM, USA*

https://osf.io/preprints/socarxiv/6wjxc

Email: allison.morgan@gmail.com
Twitter: @alliecmorgan